

REMARKS**Status of the Claims**

Applicants thank Examiner Sing for the interview conducted on June 17, 2010 (“the interview”) and for agreeing that the cited references do not disclose or suggest appending an electronic mail message to a summary file in an order that the electronic mail message is received, the summary file indicating received electronic mail messages, and sending a copy of the summary file to an email client in response to an email server receiving a request from the email client to view the received electronic mail messages.

Claims 1-2, 8-10, 21-25, 29-30, 32-34, and 37-49 are pending. Claims 3-7, 11-20, 26-28, 31, and 35-36 were previously cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer. Claims 1-2, 8-9, 21, 29, 32, 37-39, and 41-42 have been amended. No new matter has been added. Support for the claim amendments may be found in at least paragraph 0027 of the application. Claims 44-49 are new. No new matter has been added. Support for the new claims may be found in at least paragraph 0027 of the application. Applicants respectfully submit that the claims are in condition for allowance.

Claims 1-2, 8-10, 37-40, and 44-45 are Allowable

The Office has rejected claims 1-2, 8-10, and 37-40, at paragraphs 1 and 1.1-1.8 of the Office Action, under 35 U.S.C. §103(a), as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,341,160 (“Tverskoy”), in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,765,996 (“Baxter”), in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,826,026 (“Friedman”) and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 7,209,950 (“Bennett”). Applicants respectfully traverse the rejections.

Applicants thank the Examiner for agreeing in the interview that the cited references do not disclose or suggest appending an electronic mail message to a summary file in an order that the electronic mail message is received, the summary file indicating received electronic mail messages, and sending a copy of the summary file to an email client in response to an email server receiving a request from the email client to view the received electronic mail messages, as in claim 1. Hence, claim 1 is allowable. Claims 2, 8-10, 37-40, and 44-45 are allowable, at least by virtue of depending from an allowable claim.

Claims 21-25, 41, and 46-47 are Allowable

The Office has rejected claims 21-25 and 41, at paragraphs 2 and 2.1-2.6 of the Office Action, under 35 U.S.C. §103(a), as being unpatentable over Tverskoy in view of Baxter and further in view of Bennett. Applicants respectfully traverse the rejections.

Applicants thank the Examiner for agreeing in the interview that the cited references do not disclose or suggest appending an electronic mail message to a summary file in an order that the electronic mail message is received, the summary file indicating received electronic mail messages, and sending a copy of the summary file to an email client in response to an email server receiving a request from the email client to view the received electronic mail messages, as in claim 21. Hence, claim 21 is allowable. Claims 22-25, 41, and 46-47 are allowable, at least by virtue of depending from an allowable claim.

Claims 29-30, 42, and 48-49 are Allowable

The Office has rejected claims 29-30 and 42, at paragraphs 3 and 3.1-3.3 of the Office Action, under 35 U.S.C. §103(a), as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,483,899 (“Agraharam”) in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,621,800 (“Klein”) and further in view of Baxter and Bennett. Applicants respectfully traverse the rejections.

Applicants thank the Examiner for indicating in the interview that the cited references do not disclose or suggest appending an electronic mail message to a summary file in an order that the electronic mail message is received, the summary file indicating received electronic mail messages, and sending a copy of the summary file to an email client in response to an email server receiving a request from the email client to view the received electronic mail messages, as in claim 29. Hence, claim 29 is allowable. Claims 30 and 42 depend from claim 29, which Applicants have shown to be allowable. Accordingly, claims 30, 42, and 48-49 are allowable, at least by virtue of their dependence from an allowable claim.

Claims 32-34 and 43 are Allowable

The Office has rejected claims 32-34 and 43, at paragraphs 4 and 4.1-4.4 of the Office Action, under 35 U.S.C. §103(a), as being unpatentable over Agraharam in view of Baxter and further in view of Bennett. Applicants respectfully traverse the rejections.

Applicants thank the Examiner for indicating in the interview that the cited references do not disclose or suggest appending an electronic mail message to a summary file in an order that the electronic mail message is received, the summary file indicating received electronic mail messages, and sending a copy of the summary file to an email client in response to an email server receiving a request from the email client to view the received electronic mail messages, as in claim 32. Hence, claim 32 is allowable. Claims 33-34 and 43 are allowable, at least by virtue of depending from an allowable claim.

CONCLUSION

Applicants have pointed out specific features of the claims not disclosed, suggested, or rendered obvious by the cited portions of the references as applied in the Office Action. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of each of the objections and rejections, as well as an indication of the allowability of each of the pending claims.


Any changes to the claims in this response, which have not been specifically noted to overcome a rejection based upon the cited art, should be considered to have been made for a purpose unrelated to patentability, and no estoppel should be deemed to attach thereto.

The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned attorney at the telephone number listed below if such a call would in any way facilitate allowance of this application.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees, which may be required, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account Number 50-2469.

Respectfully submitted,

6-24-2010
Date



Jeffrey G. Toler, Reg. No. 38,342
Attorney for Applicants
Toler Law Group, Intellectual Properties
8500 Bluffstone Cove, Suite A201
Austin, Texas 78759
(512) 327-5515 (phone)
(512) 327-5575 (fax)